



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. //

**MAIL**

NOV 10 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

DECISION  
ON PETITION

LEE & HAYES PLLC  
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500  
SPOKANE WA 99201

In re Application of:  
John M. Snyder, et al.  
Application No. 09/761,558  
Filed: January 16, 2001  
For: **SAMPLING-EFFICIENT MAPPING OF  
IMAGES**

This is a decision on the request filed on August 23, 2004 which is treated as a Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment pursuant to MPEP §711.03 and 37 C.F.R. §1.181. No fee is required.

*The petition is granted.*

This application became abandoned for failure to timely file a response to the restriction requirement mailed November 4, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period of one (1) month to reply. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 7, 2004.

Petitioner alleges to have timely filed a proper response to the non-final Office action mailed July 2, 2003. In support, petitioner has provided as evidence, a copy of said response, a petition for extension of time, and a transmittal letter itemizing a 43 page transmission which included a Certificate of Facsimile Transmission dated December 22, 2003. Also included with the response is a copy of an Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission indicating that a 43-page document from Petitioner was received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on December 22, 2003.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8 Certificate of mailing or transmission states in part:

(a) Except in the cases enumerated in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, correspondence required to be filed in the Patent and Trademark Office within a set period of time will be considered as being timely filed if the procedure described in this section is followed. The actual date of receipt will be used for all other purposes.

(1) Correspondence will be considered as being timely filed if:

(i) The correspondence is mailed or transmitted prior to expiration of the set period of time by being:

(A) Addressed as set out in § 1.1(a) and deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail; or

(B) Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with § 1.6(d); and

(ii) The correspondence includes a certificate for each piece of correspondence stating the date of deposit or transmission. The person signing the certificate should have reasonable basis to expect that the correspondence would be mailed or transmitted on or before the date indicated. [emphasis added]

Although Petitioner is not the one who executed the certificate of transmission and there is no personal statement from the person who signed the certificate of transmission, the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission report is evidence that the documents were in fact transmitted to the Office. Accordingly, it is deemed that the original response was received on December 22, 2003 and subsequently misplaced.


The non-final Office action was mailed July 2, 2003, setting a 3 month shortened statutory time period for response which expired October 2, 2003. Applicant's response of December 22, 2003 required a three month extension of time to be considered timely filed. The petition for extension of time which accompanied the subject petition, only requested a two month extension of time. However, Applicant also checked the box which stated "The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required..."

37 CFR 1.136(a) (3) provides that:

An authorization to charge all required fees, fees under 37 CFR 1.17, or all required extension of time fees will be treated as a constructive petition for an extension of time in any concurrent or future reply requiring a petition for an extension of time under this paragraph for its timely submission. [emphasis added]

Given that Petitioner has successfully demonstrated the receipt of the response within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on December 22, 2003 and appropriate authorization was given and the required three (3) month extension of time fee has been charged to Applicant's account, the Notice of Abandonment is vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The application file is being forwarded to the technical support staff for entry of the copy of the original response which accompanied the subject petition. Thereafter, the application will be forwarded to the Examiner for appropriate action in due course.



Dwayne D. Bost  
Special Program Examiner  
Technology Center 2600  
Communications